# Software Engineering — Introduction Arkadiusz Chrobot Department of Computer Science, Kielce University of Technology Kielce, October 7, 2024 1/29 Outline Notes Motto 2 / 29 Bibliography Notes Ian Sommerville, Software Engineering, Pearson Higher Education Inc., London, UK, 2015, on-line: https://iansommerville.com. Pierre Bourque, Richard E. Fairley, ${\it SWEBOK~v3.0~Guide~to~the~Software~Engineering~Body~of~Knowledge}$ IEEE Computer Society, USA, 2014. Sungdeok Cha, Richard N. Taylor, Kyochul Kang, Handbook of Software Engineering, Springer Nature Switzerland AG, Cham, 2019. Mark Gerald O'Regan Concise Guide to Software Engineering Springer International Publishing AG, Cham, Switzerland, 2017. ...and many other will be presented in slides and on the website! 3 / 29 Motto Notes "If you look at software today, through the lens of the history of engineering, it's certainly engineering of a sort — but it's the kind of engineering that people without the concept of the arch did. Most software today is very much like an Egyptian pyramid with millions of bricks piled on top of each other, with no structural integrity, but just done by brute force and thousand of slaves." Alan Kay

Notes

Introduction	Notes
IEEE 610.2 Definition	
"Software Engineering is the application of a systematic, disciplined, quantifiable approach to the development, operation, and maintenance of soft-	
ware; that is, the application of engineering to software, and the study of such approaches."	
Software	
Software — computer programs and other artefacts created in the software	
development process.	
David Farley "Working" Definition of Engineering Engineering is the application of an empirical, scientific approach to	
finding efficient and economical solutions to practical problems.	
David Farley, Modern Software Engineering: Doing What Works to Build Better Software Faster, Pearson Higher Education Inc.,	
London, UK, 2022.	
5/29	
Software Crisis	
Dollware Orisis	Notes
In the 60ies of last century the third generation of computer systems had been introduced to the market. That opened the possibility of developing	
complex software, but it soon had become apparent that it is very diffi- cult. Nobody had known how to successfully build complex, efficient and	
reliable software. The majority of software projects ended with a disaster.  The situation had become known as the software crisis. To overcome the	
problem in 1968 the NATO organized a conference in Garmisch, Germany.  There the concept of software engineering was defined.	
6/29	
Results	
	Notes
	Notes
The principles of developing software successfully are still unknown. The	Notes
The principles of developing software successfully are still unknown. The overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:	Notes
overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:  Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),  Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Soft-	Notes
<ul> <li>overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:</li> <li>▶ Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),</li> <li>▶ Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Software Developer (2024),</li> </ul>	Notes
<ul> <li>overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:</li> <li>Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),</li> <li>Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Software Developer (2024),</li> <li>Simon Sharwood, "CrowdStrike file update bricks Windows machines around the world" (2024),</li> </ul>	Notes
<ul> <li>overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:</li> <li>Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),</li> <li>Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Software Developer (2024),</li> <li>Simon Sharwood, "CrowdStrike file update bricks Windows machines around the world" (2024),</li> <li>The Risks Digest,</li> <li>The Standish Group Chaos Report: "19% failed, 50% challenged, 31%</li> </ul>	Notes
<ul> <li>overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:</li> <li>Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),</li> <li>Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Software Developer (2024),</li> <li>Simon Sharwood, "CrowdStrike file update bricks Windows machines around the world" (2024),</li> <li>The Risks Digest,</li> </ul>	Notes
<ul> <li>overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:</li> <li>Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),</li> <li>Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Software Developer (2024),</li> <li>Simon Sharwood, "CrowdStrike file update bricks Windows machines around the world" (2024),</li> <li>The Risks Digest,</li> <li>The Standish Group Chaos Report: "19% failed, 50% challenged, 31%</li> </ul>	Notes
<ul> <li>overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:</li> <li>Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),</li> <li>Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Software Developer (2024),</li> <li>Simon Sharwood, "CrowdStrike file update bricks Windows machines around the world" (2024),</li> <li>The Risks Digest,</li> <li>The Standish Group Chaos Report: "19% failed, 50% challenged, 31%</li> </ul>	Notes
<ul> <li>overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:</li> <li>Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),</li> <li>Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Software Developer (2024),</li> <li>Simon Sharwood, "CrowdStrike file update bricks Windows machines around the world" (2024),</li> <li>The Risks Digest,</li> <li>The Standish Group Chaos Report: "19% failed, 50% challenged, 31%</li> </ul>	Notes
<ul> <li>overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:</li> <li>Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),</li> <li>Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Software Developer (2024),</li> <li>Simon Sharwood, "CrowdStrike file update bricks Windows machines around the world" (2024),</li> <li>The Risks Digest,</li> <li>The Standish Group Chaos Report: "19% failed, 50% challenged, 31% successful" (2020).</li> </ul>	Notes
<ul> <li>overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:</li> <li>Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),</li> <li>Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Software Developer (2024),</li> <li>Simon Sharwood, "CrowdStrike file update bricks Windows machines around the world" (2024),</li> <li>The Risks Digest,</li> <li>The Standish Group Chaos Report: "19% failed, 50% challenged, 31% successful" (2020).</li> </ul>	
overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:  Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),  Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Software Developer (2024),  Simon Sharwood, "CrowdStrike file update bricks Windows machines around the world" (2024),  The Risks Digest,  The Standish Group Chaos Report: "19% failed, 50% challenged, 31% successful" (2020).	Notes
<ul> <li>overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:</li> <li>Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),</li> <li>Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Software Developer (2024),</li> <li>Simon Sharwood, "CrowdStrike file update bricks Windows machines around the world" (2024),</li> <li>The Risks Digest,</li> <li>The Standish Group Chaos Report: "19% failed, 50% challenged, 31% successful" (2020).</li> </ul>	
overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:  Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),  Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Software Developer (2024),  Simon Sharwood, "CrowdStrike file update bricks Windows machines around the world" (2024),  The Risks Digest,  The Standish Group Chaos Report: "19% failed, 50% challenged, 31% successful" (2020).	
overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:  ▶ Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),  ▶ Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Software Developer (2024),  ▶ Simon Sharwood, "CrowdStrike file update bricks Windows machines around the world" (2024),  ▶ The Risks Digest,  ▶ The Standish Group Chaos Report: "19% failed, 50% challenged, 31% successful" (2020).  **Causes**  Causes**  Some of the causes:  ▶ software projects are usually innovative,	
overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:  Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),  Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Software Developer (2024),  Simon Sharwood, "CrowdStrike file update bricks Windows machines around the world" (2024),  The Risks Digest,  The Standish Group Chaos Report: "19% failed, 50% challenged, 31% successful" (2020).	
overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:  Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),  Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Software Developer (2024),  Simon Sharwood, "CrowdStrike file update bricks Windows machines around the world" (2024),  The Risks Digest,  The Standish Group Chaos Report: "19% failed, 50% challenged, 31% successful" (2020).	
overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:  Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),  Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Software Developer (2024),  Simon Sharwood, "CrowdStrike file update bricks Windows machines around the world" (2024),  The Risks Digest,  The Standish Group Chaos Report: "19% failed, 50% challenged, 31% successful" (2020).  Causes  Some of the causes:  Software projects are usually innovative,  the product of software engineering is not physical,  requirements in software projects change a lot,  bad project management,  "the exponents war".  Sources:	
overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:  Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),  Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Software Developer (2024),  Simon Sharwood, "CrowdStrike file update bricks Windows machines around the world" (2024),  The Risks Digest,  The Standish Group Chaos Report: "19% failed, 50% challenged, 31% successful" (2020).  Causes  Some of the causes:  software projects are usually innovative,  the product of software engineering is not physical,  requirements in software projects change a lot,  bad project management,  "the exponents war".	
overall situation in the software industry hasn't improved significantly:  Joel Mathis, "Starliner: What went wrong?" (2024),  Gregory Travis, "How The Boeing 737 MAX Disaster Looks To a Software Developer (2024),  Simon Sharwood, "CrowdStrike file update bricks Windows machines around the world" (2024),  The Risks Digest,  The Standish Group Chaos Report: "19% failed, 50% challenged, 31% successful" (2020).  Causes  Some of the causes:  Software projects are usually innovative,  the product of software engineering is not physical,  requirements in software projects change a lot,  bad project management,  "the exponents war".  Sources:  Terence Parr  Why Writing Software Is Not Like Engineering	

Computer Science vs. Software Engineering	Notes	
Computer Science How to create effective software? (algorithms, data structures, computational complexity, programming languages, programming paradigms).  Software Engineering How to effectively create software? (project management, methods of copping with project complexity, software architecture, documentation, pro-		
duction costs, testing, reliability, maintenance). ${}^{9/29} \label{eq:29}$		
Features of Software	Notes	
Some features of high quality software:  maintainability, reliability, effectiveness, usability.		
10/29		
Programming Paradigms	Notes	
Some of the main programming paradigms:  1. imperative  1.1 procedural/structural (Pascal, C, Perl),  1.2 object-oriented (C++, Java),  2. declarative		
<ul><li>2.1 functional programming (Erlang, Elixir, LISP, JavaScript),</li><li>2.2 logic (Prolog).</li></ul>		
11/29		
Software Development Paradigms	Notes	
There are several models of developing software. Some of them are:  • waterfall,		
<ul> <li>incremental development,</li> <li>formal methods,</li> <li>integration and configuration,</li> <li>agile methods.</li> </ul>		

### Software Development

The software development is a process of creating a product which is, basically, a computer program (or computer programs). The course of this process depends on the type of the created software, but in each case four main phases can be found:

- 1. specification easier for *generic software* and much harder in case of custom software,
- 2. development,
- 3. validation,
- 4. evolution.

13/29

#### Model of the Software Development Process

A model of the process (or a paradigm) is a simplified description of the process from a particular perspective. The models can be classified as follows:

- workflow model,
- ▶ data flow model ,
- ▶ role activity model.

14/29

# Waterfall Model

The Waterfall Paradigm is the oldest Software Development Life Cycle model applied in Software Engineering, proposed in 1970 by dr. Winston W. Royce. It was adopted from other engineering disciplines. In this model there are five main activities that are performed only once in the whole process: requirements analysis and definition, system and software design, implementation and unit testing, integration and system testing and operation and maintenance. This model organizes the work on software, but any change in requirements generates a lot of costs — the process has to start from the beginning. It is appropriate for software projects that are a part of a larger engineering projects, like for example space probe programs.

15 / 29

## Waterfall Model




Figure: Simplified Waterfall Model

Notes			
Notes			
Notes			
Notes			

#### Waterfall Model




Figure: Simplified Waterfall Model

16/29

#### Incremental Development

In the Incremental Development the product (software) is build gradually. First an initial version based on early specification is build and delivered to users. After getting feedback form the users the development team improves the product and then sends it back to them for further evaluation. The process is repeated until a required system is build. The activities that are common for all development models are interleaved in the Incremental Development rather than separate. This approach to software building can be combined with prototyping. The prototype can be crated as an experimental implementation of new functionalities and then incorporated to the main product or abandoned. In the latter case the prototype is build only to refine or discover requirements. The Incremental Development is suitable for projects in which requirements frequently change (in fact it is true for most of the software projects). The resulting product better responds to customer needs, but it internal structure may not be optimal. The progress of development may be hard to follow.

17/29

# Formal Methods

Formal Methods incorporate mathematical techniques into the software development process. Requirements for the product are written in a formal, strict specification language. The software is *derived* from its specification and its correctness is verified with the use of mathematical proofs. The resulting product is reliable and of high quality. Unfortunately, not any type of software can be developed like this. Moreover, the development is not always cost-effective. Nowadays Formal Methods are usually used for safety-critical products.

Further readings:



Concise Guide to Formal Methods

Springer International Publishing AG, Cham, Switzerland, 2017

 $18\,/\,29$ 

#### Formal Methods

"Ten years ago, researchers into formal methods (and I was the most mistaken among them) predicted that the programming world would embrace with gratitude every assistance promised by formalisation to solve the problems of reliability that arise when programs get large and more safety-critical. Programs have now got very large and very critical—well beyond the scale which can be comfortably tackled by formal methods. There have been many problems and failures, but these have nearly always been attributable to inadequate analysis of requirements or inadequate management control. It has turned out that the world just does not suffer significantly from the kind of problem that our research was originally intended to solve."

Tony Hoare in 1995

Notes	Notes	
Notes		
	Notes	
	Notes	
Notes		
Notes		
Notes		
Notes	_	
Notes		
Notes	N	
	Notes	

Integration and Configuration	Notes
The main idea behind this approach to software development is to reuse components that have been created in previous projects, bought or are	
available as open-source software. The development can be fast and cheap, but usually some compromises regarding the software functionality have	
to be made. It means that the resulting product may not exactly be what the customer needs.	
20 / 29	
Agile Methods	Notes
	Notes
In 2001 several software professionals created and signed the Agile Manifesto:  "We are uncovering better ways of developing software by doing it and	
helping others do it. Through this work we have come to value:  INDIVIDUALS AND INTERACTIONS over processes and tools	
Working software over comprehensive documentation Customer collaboration over contract negotiation Responding to change over following a plan	
That is, while there is value in the items on the right, we value the items on the left more."	
Source:  https://agilemanifesto.org/ The Manifesto is a commonied by Turche Principles of Arile Coffman	
The Manifesto is accompanied by Twelve Principles of Agile Software.	
21/29	
Agile Methods	Notes
The Agile Manifesto and Agile Principles led to the creation of many soft- ware development <i>methodologies</i> that are know as Agile Methods. Also some already existing methods that fulfill the requirements of the Ag-	
ile Manifesto and Agile Principles were classified as Agile. Among them are: Lean Software Development, PDCA (Plan — Do — Check — Act),	
Kanban, Extreme Programming (XP), Feature-Driven Development, Dynamic System Development Method, Crystal, Scrum. Those methods can be wholly or partially combined with others, also those "non-agile". The	
main goal of Agile Methods is to provide the customer with a working product and reduce the amount of any additional work in the project. The	
Agile Methods seem to be suitable for small projects with small teams and with vague requirements. They do not scale well for bigger projects.	
22/29	
Beyond Agile	Notes
Recently the Agile Methods are supplemented with three other development models:  CI/CD Continuous Integration/Continuous Delivery — Continuous In-	
tegration is when software engineers are developing the software in small increments that are frequently, even several times a day, integrated, build and tested in an automated fashion. If the soft-	
ware is also deployed or prepared for deployment at the end of the process than this is Continues Delivery.	
DevOps DevOps integrates software development and operations, making it easier for the software engineers to get feedback on the current state and issues related to their software after it was deployed.	
It requires even more automation that the CI/CD, regarding the deployment, monitoring and configuration of the software. DevOps is especially useful in Cloud Computing Environments.	
DevSecOps DevSecOps is the same as DevOps, but with security concerns taken into account. It means cooperation with security experts	
during development and maintenance of the software and au- tomation of processes related to the software security.	

# Software Engineering Methods and Tools Notes The Software Engineering not only defines the models of software development process but also provides means (called Software Engineering Methods) and tools that help to follow them. The methods allow software engineers to build models of computer programs that form the specification of software. The UML (Unified Modeling Language), Object-Oriented or Structural Analysis are examples of such means. The tools originally were named Computer-Aided Software Engineering or CASE for short. Nowadays this term is rarely used. They are used for requirements analysis and designing (so-called Upper CASE tools) or implementation and testing (Lower CASE). Contemporary examples are $\,$ GitLab, GitHub, JUnit. 24 / 29 Software Development Costs Notes The overall costs of developing software depend on its type and the kind of the development process applied. Generally, the most expensive phase is the verification (testing), which takes up to 40% of the project budget and in case of safety-critical software it can even reach 50% of total costs. But more expensive than the development is the maintenance of the software (its modification after the deployment). 25 / 29 Challenges Notes The most important challenges of the modern software engineering are: ▶ legacy software, diversity of systems, delivery times. ▶ software quality (security, reliability, etc.), software impact on society. Summary Notes The computer software becomes more and more complex. Also more and more aspects of human life depends on it. We (as humanity) do not know exactly how to develop reliable, efficient software. There are many failures in the short history of Software Engineering (recent example: ${\tt MCAS}$ software in Boeing 737-MAX 8), but also many successes (for example: the Voyager space probes). The recent Chaos Report (2020) suggests that the software in the future will be developed in pipelined fashion, similar to ${\rm DevOps/DevSecOps}.$ It seems that the Software Engineering is finally heading in the right direction. The conclusion is that adhering to the principles of Software Engineering doesn't alone guarantee the success of the software project, but neglecting them is the recipe for assured failure. ${\bf For\ entertainment:}$ Aaron Cummings,

Questions			Notes
	?		
		28 / 29	
		28 / 29	
THE END			Notes
			Notes
	Thank You for Your attention!		
		29 / 29	
			Notes
			-
			Notes