

# Concurrent Programming

C#

# Wait Handles

- Win32 Api provides three classes
  - EventWaitHandle
  - Mutex
  - Semaphore
- All 3 are based on the abstract `WaitHandle` class
- `EventWaitHandle` has two subclasses
  - AutoResetEvent
  - ManualResetEvent
- They only differ in the way the constructor is invoked.
- `WaitHandles` allow objects to be named and used between separate processes

# Wait Handles

AutoResetEvent

- It can be compared to a gate that only passes one process at the push of a button.
- When the gateway is open, the process or thread that calls the `WaitOne()` method passes through the gateway and closes it `WaitOne()`
- The process is queued when the gateway is closed
- Any other unblocked process can unblock the gate by calling method `Set()`
- One call to `Set()` will only admit one process..
- When there are no processes in the queue, `Set()` will open the gateOnce the gate is open, any subsequent `Set()` are ignored

# Wait Handles

AutoResetEvent

```
EventWaitHandle waitingGate = new EventWaitHandle (false,  
                                                 EventResetMode.Auto);
```

```
EventWaitHandle waitingGate = new AutoResetEvent (false);
```

- The above two calls are equivalent.
- The first parameter determines whether the gate should be opened during creation.

# Wait Handles

EventWaitHandle - interprocess

```
EventWaitHandle waitingGate = new EventWaitHandle (false,  
    EventResetMode.Auto, "Name of our waitingGate");
```

- The third parameter can be the name seen by all other processes in the system.
- If during creation it turns out that the object with the given name exists, we will only get a reference and the fourth parameter will be false;

```
EventWaitHandle (false, EventResetMode.Auto, "Name of our  
waitingGate", out isNew);
```

# Ready Go

Suppose we have such a scenario

- The main process has new tasks to complete every moment
- These tasks are to be done by thread
- A new thread is started each time
- The job is handed over
- After the work is done, the thread is terminated

To reduce the load resulting from creating threads (or even other processes), we can follow the following algorithm:

- The main process creates the thread
- The thread is waiting for the task
- Does the job
- It goes into a state of waiting for the next task

# Ready Go

The simplest version of the producer and the consumer

```
static EventWaitHandle ready = new AutoResetEvent(false);
static EventWaitHandle go = new AutoResetEvent(false);
static volatile string job;
static void Main(string[] args)
{
    new Thread(Consumer).Start();
    for (int i = 1; i <= 5; i++) //order job 5 times
    {
        ready.WaitOne(); // Waiting for consumer ready
        job = "a".PadRight(i, 'a'); // prepare job
        go.Set(); // signal job are ready to read
    }
    ready.WaitOne(); job = null; go.Set(); // it is signal to end
    Console.ReadKey();
}
static void Consumer()
{
    while (true)
    {
        ready.Set(); // Inform producer we are ready
        go.WaitOne(); // and waiting for a job
        if (job == null) return; // when we get null we ends
        Console.WriteLine(job);
    }
}
```

# Producer consumer - queue

- The producer queues the items
- The consumer dequeues the items
- We use named WaitHandle

```
static void Main(string[] args)
{
    Queue<string> queue = new Queue<string>();
    Thread producerThread = Producer.StartProduction(queue);
    Thread consumerThread = Consumer.StartConsumption(queue);
    producerThread.Join();
    consumerThread.Join();
}
```

# Producer consumer - queue

```
public static Thread StartProduction(Queue<string> queue)
{
    if (producerThread == null)
    {
        producerThread = new Thread((q) =>
        {
            Random r = new Random();
            for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++)
            {
                Thread.Sleep(r.Next(0, 30));
                lock (q)
                {
                    (q as Queue<string>).Enqueue("This is a product no " + i);
                    Console.WriteLine("P: I've put to the queue");
                }
                wh.Set();
            }
            lock (q)
            {
                (q as Queue<string>).Enqueue(null);
            }
        });
        producerThread.Start(queue);
    }
    else
    {
        Console.WriteLine("There is already one thread");
    }
    return producerThread;
}
```

# Producer consumer - queue

```
public static Thread StartConsumption(Queue<string> queue)
{
    if (consumerThread == null)
    {
        consumerThread = new Thread((q) =>
    {
        Random r = new Random();
        while (true)
        {
            string mesg = null;
            lock (q)
                if ((q as Queue<string>).Count > 0)
                {
                    mesg = (q as Queue<string>).Dequeue();
                    if (mesg == null) return;
                }
            if (mesg != null)
            {
                Console.WriteLine("C: I've consumed: " + mesg);
                Thread.Sleep(r.Next(0, 20));
            }
            else
            {
                //sometimes it happens twice
                Console.WriteLine("C: So I'm waiting...");
                wh.WaitOne();
            }
        }
    });
    consumerThread.Start(queue);
}
else
{
    Console.WriteLine("There is already one thread");
}
return consumerThread;
}
```

# Wait Handles

ManualResetEvent

```
EventWaitHandle waitingGate = new EventWaitHandle (false,  
EventResetMode.Manual);
```

```
EventWaitHandle waitingGate = new ManualResetEvent (false);
```

- The above two calls are equivalent.
- The first parameter determines whether the gate should be opened during creation.
- The `Set` method lets all callers `WaitOne` in, until it close by `Reset`.

# Wait Handles

## Mutex

- It works the same as `lock` except that it can be used between processes and is about 100 times slower (assuming you are not blocking)
- As well as `lock`, it provides exclusive access to the program block between the call to `WaitOne` and `ReleaseMutex`
- Locking and unlocking must be invoked from the same thread.
- The advantage is the automatic release of the mutex even when the application exits without calling `ReleaseMutex`

# Wait Handles

## Mutex

```
static Mutex mutex = new Mutex(false, "tu.kielce.pl mutex");
static void ThreadWithMutex(object o)
{
    //it is very slow, there is 100 times less iterations then in other
examples
    for (int ii = 0; ii < 10000; ii++)
    {
        mutex.WaitOne();
        counter++;
        mutex.ReleaseMutex();
    }
}
```

Example: Synchronization

# Wait Handles

## Semaphore

- A semaphore is like a counter that can never be less than 0.
- The **WaitOne** operation decreases this counter by 1, if it is 0, the given thread waits for another thread to increase it with **Release**.
- In the case of a semaphore, it can be released by any other thread, not just the one that call WaitOne, as is the case with lock or Mutex.
- Semaphore is similarly fast as than Mutex.

# Wait Handles

## Semaphore

```
static Semaphore sem = new Semaphore(1, 1);
static void ThreadWithSemaphore(object o)
{
    //it is very slow, there is 100 times less iterations
    for (int ii = 0; ii < 10000; ii++)
    {
        sem.WaitOne();
        counter++;
        sem.Release();
    }
}
```

Example Synchronization

# Wait Handles

**Wait, wait, wait...**

**WaitHandle.SignalAndWait** – Simultaneous signal sending and waiting. For example, meetings can be organized in this way.

```
private static EventWaitHandle wh1 =
    new
EventWaitHandle(false,EventResetMode.AutoReset);
private static EventWaitHandle wh2 =
    new
EventWaitHandle(false,EventResetMode.AutoReset);
```

One of the threads calls:

```
WaitHandle.SignalAndWait(wh1, wh2);
```

The second thread calls:

```
WaitHandle.SignalAndWait(wh2, wh1);
```

# Wait Handles

Wait, wait, wait...

**WaitHandle.WaitAll (WaitHandle[] waitHandles)**

- Wait for permission from all of the waitHandles

**WaitHandle.WaitAny (WaitHandle[] waitHandles)**

- Wait for permission from any of the waitHandles

# Barrier

The barrier is used to synchronize the work of the threads in certain stages. For example, in genetic algorithms where we wait for all threads to finish working in a given iteration. Below, several threads work unsynchronized

```
const int threads = 10;
static void printString(string inputstring)
{
    ThreadStart thread = () =>
    {
        char[] inputArray = inputstring.ToArray();
        for (int i = 0; i < inputArray.Length; i++)
        {
            Console.Write(inputArray[i]);
        }
    };
    Thread[] watki = new Thread[threads];
    for (int i = 0; i < threads; ++i)
    {
        watki[i] = new Thread(thread);
        watki[i].Start();
    }
    //Here we wait until all threads running in this method are finished
    for (int i = 0; i < threads; ++i)
    {
        watki[i].Join();
    }
}
```

# Barrier

## Attempting to apply the barrier with the Monitor

```
static void printStringWaitPulse(string inputstring)
{
    object o = new object();
    int callCounter = 0;
    ThreadStart thread = () =>
    {
        char[] inputArray = inputstring.ToArray();
        for (int i = 0; i < inputArray.Length; i++)
        {
            lock (o)
            {
                Console.Write(inputArray[i]);
                callCounter++;
                if (callCounter < threads)
                {   //if not all threads finished we wait
                    //and simultaneously free the lock
                    Monitor.Wait(o);
                }
                else
                {   //if we are the last thread we pulse others
                    Monitor.PulseAll(o);
                    callCounter = 0;
                }
            }
        }
    };
...
}
```

# Barrier

## Using *Barrier* and *CountdownEvent*

```
static System.Threading.Barrier barrier =
    new System.Threading.Barrier(threads, (b) =>
    { Console.WriteLine(" Barrier in a phase: {0}", b.CurrentPhaseNumber); });
static void printStringBarrier(string inputstring)
{
    ThreadStart thread = () =>
    {
        for (int i = 0; i < inputstring.Length; i++)
        {
            Console.Write(inputstring[i]);
            barrier.SignalAndWait();
        }
        ce.Signal(); //when the task is done we report it to decrease the counter
    };
    Thread[] threadsArray = new Thread[threads];
    for (int i = 0; i < threads; ++i)
    {
        threadsArray[i] = new Thread(thread);
        threadsArray[i].Start();
    }
    //Here we wait until the counter reaches 0 (all threads calling ce.Signal ())
    ce.Wait();
    Console.WriteLine("Done. InitialCount={0}, CurrentCount={1}, IsSet={2}",
                      ce.InitialCount, ce.CurrentCount, ce.IsSet);
}
```

# Collections

## Adding to a list by multiple threads

```
static void Main(string[] args)
{
    List<Thread> threads = new List<Thread>();
    List<int> numbers = new List<int>(10000);
    Random rand = new Random();
    for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++)
    {
        var thread = new Thread(() =>
        {
            for (int l = 0; l < 100; l++)
                numbers.Add(rand.Next());
        });
        threads.Add(thread);
        thread.Start();
    }
    foreach (var watek in threads)
    {
        watek.Join();
    }
    Console.WriteLine($"The number of items in a regular list:
{numbers.Count}");
    Console.ReadLine();
}
```

# Collections

## Adding to a list by multiple threads with a lock

```
static void Main(string[] args)
{
    List<Thread> threads = new List<Thread>();
    List<int> numbers = new List<int>(10000000);
    Stopwatch sw = new Stopwatch();
    sw.Start();
    Random rand = new Random();
    for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++)
    {
        var thread = new Thread(() =>
        {
            for (int l = 0; l < 100000; l++)
                lock (numbers) //comment this and mesure time
                {
                    numbers.Add(rand.Next());
                }
        });
        threads.Add(thread);
        thread.Start();
    }
    foreach (var th in threads)
        th.Join();
    sw.Stop();
    Console.WriteLine($"The number of items in a regular list: {numbers.Count}");
    Console.WriteLine($"Elapsed {sw.ElapsedMilliseconds} ms");
    Console.ReadLine();
}
```

# Collections

## Using ConcurrentBag

```
static void Main(string[] args)
{
    ConcurrentBag<int> bag = new ConcurrentBag<int>();
    List<Thread> threads = new List<Thread>();
    Stopwatch sw = new Stopwatch();
    sw.Start();
    Random rand = new Random();
    for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++)
    {
        var thread = new Thread(() =>
        {
            for (int l = 0; l < 100000; l++)
                bag.Add(rand.Next());
        });
        threads.Add(thread);
        thread.Start();
    }
    foreach (var th in threads)
    {
        th.Join();
    }
    sw.Stop();
    Console.WriteLine($"The number of items in the concurrent bag:
{bag.Count}");
    Console.WriteLine($"Elapsed {sw.ElapsedMilliseconds} ms");
    Console.ReadLine();
}
```

# Collections

## The problem with unique values

```
for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++)
{
    var watek = new Thread(() =>
    {

        for (int l = 0; l < 100; l++)
        {
            int number = rand.Next(10001);
            lock (numbers) //we use this lock only for that
there are no errors like "collection was modified"
            while (numbers.Any(x => x == number))
            {
                number = rand.Next(10001);
            };
            lock (numbers) //we use this lock only for that
there are no errors like "collection was modified"
            numbers.Add(number);
        }
    });
    threads.Add(watek);
    watek.Start();
}
```

# Collections

## Using Lock to make collection unique

```
for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++)
{
    var watek = new Thread(() =>
    {

        for (int l = 0; l < 100; l++)
            lock (numbers)
            {
                int number = rand.Next(10001);
                while (numbers.Any(x => x == number))
                {
                    number = rand.Next(10001);
                };
                numbers.Add(number);
            }
    });
    threads.Add(watek);
    watek.Start();
}
```

# Collections

The same when we want concurrent bag with unique numbers

```
ConcurrentBag<int> numbers = new ConcurrentBag<int>();
List<Thread> threads = new List<Thread>();

for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++)
{
    var thread = new Thread(() =>
    {
        for (int l = 0; l < 100; l++)
            lock (numbers) //without this, cb has non unique numbers
            {
                int number = rand.Next(10001);
                while (numbers.Any(x => x == number))
                    {
                        number = rand.Next(10001);
                    };
                numbers.Add(number);
            }
    });
    threads.Add(thread);
    thread.Start();
};
```

# Collections

## Using ConcurrentDictionary

Keys in dictionary must be unique so we use key like value and value set null.

```
List<Thread> threads = new List<Thread>();
ConcurrentDictionary<int, object> numbers = new
ConcurrentDictionary<int, object>();
for (int i = 0; i < 100; i++)
{
    var thread = new Thread(() =>
    {
        Random rand = new Random(Thread.CurrentThread.ManagedThreadId);
        for (int l = 0; l < 100; l++)
        {
            int number = rand.Next(10001);
            while (!numbers.TryAdd(number, null)) //we use key like a
value and value set null
            {
                number = rand.Next(10001);
            };
        }
    });
    threads.Add(thread);
    thread.Start();
}
```

Example: CollectionsAndBarriers/UniqueDictionary

# Collections

## Using BlockingCollection in producer consumer

```
var blockingCollection = new BlockingCollection<int>(10);

var producer = new Thread(() =>
{
    for (int l = 0; l < 100; l++)
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Put {l}");
        blockingCollection.Add(l);
        Thread.Sleep(rand.Next(500));
    }
    blockingCollection.CompleteAdding();
});
producer.Start();

var consumer = new Thread(() =>
{
    for (int l = 0; l < 10; l++)
    {
        var result2 = blockingCollection.Take();
        Console.WriteLine($"Take {result2} ");
        Thread.Sleep(rand.Next(5000));
    }
});
```

# Collections

## Using BlockingCollection in producer consumer

```
var blockingCollection = new BlockingCollection<int>(10);

var producer = new Thread(() =>
{
    for (int l = 0; l < 100; l++)
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Put {l}");
        blockingCollection.Add(l);
        Thread.Sleep(rand.Next(500));
    }
    blockingCollection.CompleteAdding();
});
producer.Start();

var consumer = new Thread(() =>
{
    for (int l = 0; l < 10; l++)
    {
        var result2 = blockingCollection.Take();
        Console.WriteLine($"Take {result2} ");
        Thread.Sleep(rand.Next(5000));
    }
});
```

# Collections

Using simple Queue in producer consumer

Producer puts sequential numbers to the simple queue in random time up to 0,5s

```
var cq = new Queue<int>();
List<Thread> consumers = new List<Thread>();
var producer = new Thread(() =>
{
    Random rand = new Random(Thread.CurrentThread.ManagedThreadId);
    for (int l = 0; l < 100; l++)
    {
        cq.Enqueue(l);
        Console.WriteLine($"Put {l}");
        Thread.Sleep(rand.Next(500));
    }
});
producer.Start();
```

# Collections

## Using simple Queue in producer consumer

```
for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)
{
    var consumer = new Thread(() =>
    {
        Random rand = new Random(Thread.CurrentThread.ManagedThreadId);
        for (int l = 0; l < 10; l++)
        {
            try
            {
                int result2 = cq.Dequeue();
                Console.WriteLine($"Take {result2} ");
            }
            catch (Exception ex)
            {
                Console.WriteLine("Exception during taking: {0}", ex.Message);
            }
            Thread.Sleep(rand.Next(5000));
        }
    });
    consumers.Add(consumer);
    consumer.Start();
}
```

# Collections

Here, we have serious problem. Consumers read even the queue is empty.

```
Take 0
Put 0
Exception during taking: Queue empty.
Put 1
Put 2
```

# Collections

## Using Concurrent Queue in producer consumer

```
//the producer puts 100 elements into the collection at random intervals
//of up to 500ms
var producer = new Thread(() =>
{
    Random rand = new Random(Thread.CurrentThread.ManagedThreadId);
    for (int l = 0; l < 100; l++)
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Put {l}");
        cq.Enqueue(l);
        Thread.Sleep(rand.Next(500));
    }
});
producer.Start();
```

# Collections

## Using Concurrent Queue in producer consumer

```
//Consumers take data from the collection at intervals of up to 5000 ms
for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)
{
    var consumer = new Thread(() =>
    {
        Random rand = new Random(Thread.CurrentThread.ManagedThreadId);
        for (int l = 0; l < 10; l++)
        {
            Thread.Sleep(rand.Next(5000));
            int result;
            bool succeeded = cq.TryDequeue(out result);
            if (!succeeded)
            {
                l--;
                Console.WriteLine("I don't have this time");
                continue; //continue for
            }
            Console.WriteLine($"Take {result} ");
        }
    });
    consumers.Add(consumer);
    consumer.Start();
}
```

# Collections

There is another problem. There is no blocking function *Dequeue* in ConcurrentQueue. (In BlockingCollection is *Take*)

There is only TryDeque and we use it. But sometimes we have empty queue and must TryDeque again, this is like active checking.

```
Put 39
Take 39
I don't have this time
I don't have this time
Put 40
Take 40
Put 41
Take 41
Put 42
Take 42
I don't have this time
Put 43
Take 43
```

# Collections

Using Concurrent Queue in producer consumer with semaphore

```
SemaphoreSlim elementCounter = new SemaphoreSlim(0, int.MaxValue);
var producer = new Thread(() =>
{
    Random rand = new Random(Thread.CurrentThread.ManagedThreadId);
    for (int l = 0; l < 100; l++)
    {
        Console.WriteLine($"Put {l}");
        cq.Enqueue(l);
        elementCounter.Release();
        Thread.Sleep(rand.Next(500));
    }
});
producer.Start();
```

# Collections

## Using Concurrent Queue in producer consumer with semaphore

```
for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)
{
    var consumer = new Thread(() =>
    {
        Random rand = new Random(Thread.CurrentThread.ManagedThreadId);
        for (int l = 0; l < 10; l++)
        {
            Thread.Sleep(rand.Next(4000));
            int result;
            elementCounter.Wait();
            bool succeeded = cq.TryDequeue(out result);
            if (!succeeded)
            {
                throw new Exception("OMG we shouldn't be here");
            }
            Console.WriteLine($"Take {result} ");
        }
    });
    consumers.Add(consumer);
    consumer.Start();
}
```

# Collections

Using Concurrent Queue in producer consumer with semaphores and upper limit

```
SemaphoreSlim elementCounter = new SemaphoreSlim(0, limit);
SemaphoreSlim upperLimit = new SemaphoreSlim(limit, limit);

var producer = new Thread(() =>
{
    Random rand = new Random(Thread.CurrentThread.ManagedThreadId);
    for (int l = 0; l < 100; l++)
    {
        upperLimit.Wait(); //check the queue not hit the upper limit
        Console.WriteLine($"Put {l}");
        cq.Enqueue(l);
        elementCounter.Release(); //signal that item enqueued
        Thread.Sleep(rand.Next(500));
    }
});
producer.Start();
```

# Collections

## Using Concurrent Queue in producer consumer with semaphores and upper limit

```
Thread.Sleep(5000); //wait for simulate hitting the limit
for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++)
{
    var consumer = new Thread(() =>
    {
        Random rand = new Random(Thread.CurrentThread.ManagedThreadId);
        for (int l = 0; l < 10; l++)
        {
            Thread.Sleep(rand.Next(4000));
            int result;
            elementCounter.Wait();
            bool succeeded = cq.TryDequeue(out result);
            if (!succeeded)
            {
                throw new Exception("OMG we shouldn't be here");
            }
            upperLimit.Release();
            Console.WriteLine($"Take {result} ");
        }
    });
    consumers.Add(consumer);
    consumer.Start();
}
```

# Thank You